# **Office of Group Benefits**

# Strategic Plan Fiscal Year 2008-2013



**Submitted by:** Office of Group Benefits

Governor Kathleen Babineaux Blanco Commissioner of Administration Jerry Luke Leblanc

July 1, 2007

# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	3-5
Vision, Mission, & Philosophy	6
Program Goals & Objectives	7-8
OGB-Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, & Threats	9-11
Strengths	9
Weaknesses	10
Opportunities	10
Threats	11
Principal Clients & Users	12
Principal Clients and Users by Objective	13-14
Strategic Plan	15-16
Appendix A: Integrated Components of Vision 2020	38
Appendix B: Avoiding Duplication of Effort	43
Appendix C: Act 1078 of 2003 - Policies Benefiting Women and Children	45
Appendix D: Definitions of Unclear Terms	47
Appendix E: Strategic Planning Program Evaluation	49-53
Appendix F: Performance Indicator Documentation	54

## **Executive Summary**

Statutory Authority: Chapter 12 of Title 42 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, Section 821, 851 and 871-879 as amended by Act 150 of the First Extraordinary Session of 1998 and Act 1178 of 2001.

Organization: The Office of Group Benefits (OGB) is an agency of the State of Louisiana within the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration. OGB is authorized by statute to provide health and accidental benefits and life insurance to State employees, retirees and their dependents. Plan participation eligibility include employees of State agencies, institutions of high education, local school boards that elect to participate in the Program and certain political subdivisions. Eligibility does not include local government entities or municipalities.

The OGB is comprised of fifteen (15) internal programs or divisions: Executive (Office of the CEO, Internal Audit, HIPAA Compliance), Administration, Quality Assurance, Fiscal, Eligibility, Claims, Flexible Benefits & Imagining Services, Customer Services, Provider Services, Agencies Services, Legal, Plan Administration, Information Systems, Information Operations, and Information Applications.

Strategic Plan Summary: The Office of Group Benefits (OGB) is proud to have served employees and retirees of the State of Louisiana for more than 38 years. OGB has weathered many storms in the health care sea and formally charts its course for the future in this Strategic Plan 2008-2013.

OGB's strategic planning team starts with a fundamental philosophy that acknowledges the importance of health and other benefits in attracting and retaining the best and brightest state employees. This philosophy is stated as:

This philosophy of the Office of Group Benefits is to use informed decision making, proactive services, and innovative actions for plan members and providers, while offering a competitive system of benefits to assist the state of Louisiana's goal to attract and retain competent and productive employees.

With this philosophy framing how OGB conducts its business on behalf of almost a quarter of a million residents of Louisiana and elsewhere in America, the strategic planning team focuses its vision on being a bellwether in the health care benefits industry. OGB's vision for the future, then, is:

The Office of Group Benefits envisions itself as a leader in improving and preserving the quality of life.

Such a philosophy requires a staff committed to being on the cutting edge of the health insurance arena. This staff must be fully aware of industry trends on the national and international level, and must be able to provide industry leadership within the constraints of a government pocketbook.

Fully cognizant of this challenge, the OGB strategic planning team defines its mission as:

The Office of Group Benefits will offer an employee benefits system that meets or exceeds industry standards and/or benchmarks.

To accomplish this noble, albeit attainable, mission, the strategic planning team conducts a thorough assessment and analysis, the results of which are identified in this document. This report first looks at OGB's strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats and then lists the agency's principal clients and users.

The plan then turns to formalizing the three primary goals that will have to be met in order to accomplish OGB's mission. These goals can be simply stated as:

- 1. To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at the Office of Group Benefits;
- 2. To continuously increase customer satisfaction for Office of Group Benefits customers; and,
- 3. To improve the health of plan members.

The report continues by defining seven objectives that will allow OGB to successfully perform these goals along with a list of performance indicators that will measure this success. The report concludes with a thorough appraisal of each of these performance indicators, including rationale, source, frequency, calculation methodology, limitations and more.

Thus, this document is OGB's map for the future, its compass for direction and its barometer for the success of its performance over the next five years.

## Vision, Mission, & Philosophy

#### Vision

The Office of Group Benefits envisions itself as a leader in improving and preserving quality of life.

#### Mission

The Office of Group Benefits will offer an employee benefits system that meets or exceeds industry standards and/or benchmarks.

# Philosophy

This philosophy of the Office of Group Benefits is to use informed decision making, proactive services, and innovative actions for plan members and providers, while offering a competitive system of benefits to assist the state of Louisiana's goal to attract and retain competent and productive employees.

# **Goals & Objectives**

Office of Group Benefits goals for the year 2008-2013 are:

## Goal One:

To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at the Office of Group Benefits.

## **Objectives:**

1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness **Key** Office of Group Benefits processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013.

## Goal Two:

To continuously increase customer satisfaction for Office of Group Benefits customers.

# **Objectives:**

- 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.
- 2.2 Increase provider satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.
- 2.3 Increase staff member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.
- 2.4 Increase agency satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.

# **Goal Three:**

To improve the health of plan members.

# Objectives

3.1 To increase the number of innovative programs for plan members by 15% FY 2012-2013.

## Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, & Threats

The Office of Group Benefits perceives its strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats to be vital components in effectively negotiating the future direction of the agency. The specific factors relative to this strategy include:

# **Strengths:**

Identification of agency strengths allows the Office of Group Benefits maximum understanding of available tools so that it may build an effective strategic plan.

- □ A framework of dedicated, competent, creative, and talented staff that is capable of maintaining its current level of success as well as pursing means for advancement.
- □ "Strength in Numbers" an extensive use of internal networking has proven to be invaluable in accomplishing small and large-scale tasks.
- □ Financial stability.
- □ An offering of diverse benefit packages which allow members more options in coverage choice.
- □ A dynamic technology base that is dually committed to keeping the agency current with industrial trends as well as seeking methods to advance technological services.
- □ An ability to productively acclimate to change due to internal modifications or external mandates.

#### Weaknesses:

Recognition of agency weakness affords the Office of Group Benefits an opportunity to adequately prepare for potential risks as a result of its vulnerabilities.

- □ Sub-programs within agency have a propensity towards perceiving themselves as separate entities.
- ☐ The misperception that the Office of Group Benefits offers substandard or, at best, average benefits to its members.
- ☐ Though there is a wealth of creativity, there is a weakness in the ability to produce innovations such as advance methodologies or cutting-edge services.

## **Opportunities:**

The Office of Group Benefits believes that it is necessary to keep a working knowledge of member needs so that it may take full advantage of any industrial opportunity that may present itself. Additionally, the agency regards opportunities to enhance its services to all member/stakeholders as viable methods of improving customer relation as well as industry status.

- □ Momentum toward gaining national accreditation, thereby, improving public image.
- □ Adjudicating Medicaid claims.

Set an exemplary level service and advancement; which could pilot mentoring or consulting programs.
Encouraging member physical fitness through innovative approaches in Disease Management.
Implementation of an interactive, web-based facilitator that would afford members the opportunity to better manage their healthcare.
Threats:

The Office of Group Benefits perceives internal and external threats as any factors that may inhibit its ability to effectively meet mandates, perform at industry standards, maintain agency standards, or achieve and elevate standard of excellence. Furthermore, recognition of these factors enables the agency to be aware of the complete operational consequences, track its actions, and anticipate possible future impacts.

- ☐ The occurrence of unfunded legislative mandates.
- □ The increase in healthcare costs.

## Office of Group Benefits Principal Clients/Users

The Office of Group Benefits offers health, accidental, and life benefits to group plan members.

During the assessment phase of the strategic planning process, the Office of Group Benefits identified key stakeholders. The following stakeholders were identified and targeted during the strategic planning process:

#### □ Plan Members

State of LA employees (including former employees), eligible family members, beneficiaries, claimants and contractors who are covered under the benefits plans offered by the Office of Group Benefits

#### □ Plan Providers

Medical professional who provide the medical services offered to the Office of Group Benefits plan members

#### □ Staff Members

Office of Group Benefits staff members

## **□** State Agencies

Government agencies within the state of LA that participate in the benefits plans offered by the Office of Group Benefits

# Principal Clients/Users - Objective Specific

Office of Group Benefits Objectives	Identified Target Group
Goal 1	
Measure and improve operational efficiency and	
effectiveness at the OGB.	
Objective 1.1	Plan Members
Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of OGB	Plan Providers Staff Members
processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013.	State Agencies
Goal 2	g
To continuously increase customer satisfaction for	
OGB customers.	
Objective 2.1	Plan Members
Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by	
FY 2012-2013.	
Objective 2.2	Plan Providers
Increase provider satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-	
2013.	
Objective 2.3	Staff Members
Increase staff member satisfaction by 15% by	
FY 2012-2013.	

Objective 2.4	State Agencies
Increase agency satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-	
2013.	
Goal 3	
To improve the health of plan members.	
Objective 3.1	Plan Members
To increase the number of innovative programs	Plan Providers Staff Members
for plan members by 15% by FY 2012-13.	State Agencies

Goals Objective Strategy

# Office of Group Benefits Strategic Plan FY2008-FY2013

		Content
1		Content
		To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at the OGB.
1.1		Improve the efficiency and effectiveness Key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013.
	1.1.1	Identify OGB business processes and develop <u>job aid</u> for key managers/supervisors to use in mapping business processes.
	1.1.2	Develop measurable performance indicators by reviewing benchmarking data and internal performance data.
	1.1.3	Implement an information system to collect, maintain, and analyze key performance information for organizational management- Business Intelligence.
		o Design/Develop a databank of ad hoc reports for the entire agency.
		o Develop Dash Boards- Executive, Operational/Customer/Actuarial.
		o Enhance Data Warehousing.
	1.1.4	Identify and analyze key processes for improvement.
	1.1.5	Develop a performance tracking system for the strategic plan by FY 04-05.
	1.1.6	Develop process teams/feedback loops to promote collaboration, coordination and communication across
		disciplines and departments within OGB by doing the following:
	1.1.7	Analyze and improve the selected processes.
	1.1.8	Implement process changes as indicated.

GOAL 1:	To measure and	Performance Indicator Matrix To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.						
OBJECTIVE		Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality		
Objective 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013		Baseline number of linked processes	Number of processes managed for improvement	Percentage of processes improved after management	Percentage reduction of time or labor hours in improved processes	(same as Outcome)		

GOAL 1: To mea	sure and improve operational e		Indicator Matrix ess at OGB.		
OBJECTIVE	Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality
Objective 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB property by 20% by FY 2012-201	rocesses Baseline number of	Number of unsolicited refunds cleared  Baseline number of group health and accidental claims processed annually  Dollar amount of claims processed annually	Percentage of unsolicited refunds cleared vs. total number of unsolicited refunds	Reduction in turnaround time to clear unsolicited refunds  Baseline average turnaround time for health claim payments (in days)	Increase in percentage of unsolicited refunds cleared vs. baseline number of unsolicited refunds

GOAL 1:	Performance Indicator Matrix To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.						
OBJECTIVE		Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality	
Objective 1.1 Improve effici effectiveness of by 20% by FY	of OGB processes	Baseline number of Flex Plan calls	Number of Flex Plan calls resolved	Percentage of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received	Average time to resolve each Flex Plan call	Percentage reduction in average time to resolve each Flex Plan call  Percentage change in number of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. baseline number of Flex Plan calls	

GOAL 1: To measure as	Performance Indicator Matrix To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.						
OBJECTIVE	Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality		
Objective 1.1 Improve efficiency and	Baseline number of premium invoices generated	Number of premium invoices reconciled	Percentage of reconciled invoices vs. generated invoices	Average time to reconcile per invoice	Percentage reduction in number of invoices not reconciled		
effectiveness of OGB processe by 20% by FY 2012-2013	Baseline amount of premium revenue invoiced	Amount of premium revenue collected	Percentage of invoiced premium collected vs. baseline premium invoiced	(same as Outcome)	Reduction in percentage of collected premium vs. invoiced premium		

GOAL 1: To measure and	Performance Indicator Matrix To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.						
OBJECTIVE	Input Output Outcome Efficiency Quality						
Objective 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013	Baseline number of audit monitoring functions required by HIPAA	Number of audit monitoring functions complying with HIPAA standards	Percentage of HIPAA compliant functions	Time to complete HIPAA audit monitoring functions	Increase in percentage of HIPAA compliant functions		

GOAL 1:	Performance Indicator Matrix  To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.					
OBJECTIVE		Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality
Objective 1.1		Current number of audit hours for each risk assessed process	Number of audit hours used for each risk assessed process	Reduction in audit hours for each risk assessed process audited.		Same as Outcome
Improve effici	iency and of OGB processes	Current number of audits completed for risk assessed process	Number of audits completed annually for risk assessed processes	Increase in number of audits completed.		Same as Outcome
		Current percentage completed of annual assessed processes	Percentage completion of population of risk assessed processes	Increase in percentage completion of risk assessed processes annually.		Same as Outcome
		Baseline number of required risk- assessed audits	Number of risk- assessed audits completed where post-audit non- compliance is found	Percentage of completed audits with where non-compliance is found	Cost in labor hours to correct post-audit noncompliance findings	Reduction in <u>repeat</u> audit non- compliance

GOAL 1:	To measure and	Performance Indicator Matrix  To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.						
OBJECTIVE		Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality		
Objective 1.1 Improve effici effectiveness of by 20% by FY	of OGB processes	Baseline number of courses needed to address internal employee functions that need	Number of courses delivered to accommodate baseline number	Percent of courses delivered vs. courses needed	Cost per participant per course	Improvement in employee functions after training		
		improvement <i>from training</i> Baseline number of	Number of external		Cost per participant per employee function	Improvement in customer satisfaction related to training provided		
		external agency staff in need of training on OGB procedures	agency staff trained	Percent of external agency staff trained vs. untrained external agency staff		to training provided		

2		Content
		To continuously increase customer satisfaction for OGB customers.
2.1		Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.
	2.1.1	To develop an interactive health management web portal.
	2.1.2	Expand the provider network where appropriate.
	2.1.3	Customer Service to form partnership groups to educate specific staff members in centralized areas so that
		knowledge of other departments can be utilized to assist the customers.
	2.1.4	Improve Impact system to provide more automated benefits re: claim and payment material.
	2.1.5	Reduce response time to appeals and written correspondence by identifying and analyzing the steps of the
		process.
	2.1.6	Develop a process to measure and improve key telephone performance indicators including avg. blockage
		rate, avg. answer speed, ACD incoming call time averages and avg. abandonment rate.
	2.1.7	Increase the number of individualized plan member benefit programs by doing the following:
		o Implement Health Savings Account(s) with a high deductible health plan for uninsured state
		employees.
		o Implement an educational initiative to provide all Flexible Benefits participating agencies with
		Flexible Spending Accounts services.
	2.1.8	Reassure plan members re: OGB's commitment to confidentiality.
	2.1.9	Implement annual satisfaction survey (annual report card) and focus group meetings. (1st year serves as
		baseline.
-	2.1.10	Identify and develop a plan to enhance the OGB communication marketing and communications strategies.
	2.1.11	Increase the number of web site users.
	2.1.12	Increase the effectiveness of message media and the number of media types utilized to educate and inform
		plan members
	2.1.13	Increase the number of public appearances and favorable editorials/articles of behalf of OGB.

2.1.14	Increase the professional networking opportunities for identified OGB staff members and departments by
	doing the following:
	<ul> <li>Identify key professional associations for OGB staff members' membership.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Join professional organizations that support OGB staff network with groups.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Promote national professional designations/certifications for OGB staff.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Enhance positive media relationships with key OGB media.</li> </ul>

GOAL 2: To continuously	Performance Indicator Matrix To continuously improve customer satisfaction for OGB customers.						
OBJECTIVE	Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality		
Objective 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013	Baseline number of IRS Flex Plan Options offered	Number of new IRS Flex Plan Options developed	Percentage increase in new Flex Plan Options offered	Time required to achieve implementation of new Flex Plan Options	Increase in percentage of state employees enrolled in Flex Plan Options.		

GOAL 2:	Performance Indicator Matrix To continuously improve customer satisfaction for OGB customers.					
OBJECTIVE		Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality
Objective 2.1: Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012- 2013		Baseline number of wellness programs	Number of <u>effective</u> wellness programs	Percentage of effective wellness programs to number of wellness programs	Cost to improve wellness programs to effective standard	Reduction in targeted health care costs
		Baseline customer satisfaction rating from initial survey	Change in plan member satisfaction rating annually	Percentage of change in plan member satisfaction rating	Cost to enhance plan member satisfaction	(Same as Outcome)

GOAL 2: To continuously	Performance Indicator Matrix To continuously improve customer satisfaction for OGB customers.				
OBJECTIVE	Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality
Objective 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013	Baseline number of complaints	Number of resolved complaints	Percentage of resolved complaints to baseline number of complaints	"Cost" in average number of contacts to resolve each complaint.  Average time to resolve each complaint	Reduction in average number of contacts to resolve each complaint.  Reduction in time to resolve each complaint

# Goals Objectives Strategy

2.2		Content
		Increase provider satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.
	2.2.1	Decrease contracting errors and processing time
	2.2.2	Develop a participating provider relations plan that includes a provider communications plan.
	2.2.3	Increase the number of effective provider educational initiatives by doing the following:  Outpute provider handbook training material.  Offer alerts on claim filing issues.  Develop quarterly provider newsletter.  Develop a participating provider relations plan that includes a provider communications plan.
	2.2.4	Premium payment via EFT.
	2.2.5	Implement annual satisfaction survey (annual report card) and focus group meetings. (1st year serves as baseline)

GOAL 2: To continuously	Performance Indicator Matrix To continuously improve customer satisfaction for OGB customers.					
OBJECTIVE	Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality	
Objective 2.2 Increase provider satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013	Baseline number of complaints	Number of resolved complaints	Percentage of resolved complaints to baseline number of complaints	"Cost" in average number of contacts to resolve each complaint.  Average time to resolve each complaint	Reduction in average number of contacts to resolve each complaint.  Reduction in time to resolve each complaint	

2.3		Content
		Increase staff member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.
	2.3.1	Promote internal employment stability through the following initiatives:  o Defining career paths o Identifying causes of turnover  • Refine exit interview process to include 6 month follow-up after separation
	2.3.2	Research the viability of flexible work scheduling.
	2.3.3	Develop a process to increase employee involvement in OGB policy development, including core values, as appropriate.
	2.3.4	Enhance internal communication efforts and feedback systems through the following initiatives:  O Develop an electronic suggestion box (automated program)  Publicize and communicate the 'perks' of working at OGB.  O Develop routine directors meeting.  O Expand communications meeting.  O Expand employee association and rewards program.  O Increase frequency of "Group Vine" & utilize intranet for employee newsletter.  O Promote existing interactive automated support (e.g.: LEO).
	2.3.5	Enhance educational efforts for OGB staff members to ensure transfer of training into the workplace
	2.3.6	Develop a productivity model for key OGB positions.
	2.3.7	Increase the number of wellness programs/initiatives for OGB staff members by doing the following:  o Perform needs assessment for OGB staff.  o Provide an Employee Assistance Program.  o Work with local fitness club to offer reduced membership/group rates.  o Investigate and if possible, implement air purifying system.
		<ul> <li>Annual smoking cessation classes.</li> <li>Investigate and if possible replace junk food vending machines with healthy snacks.</li> </ul>
	2.3.8	Expand and increase the use of R&R program.
	2.3.9	Implement annual satisfaction survey (annual report card) and focus group meetings. (1st year serves as baseline.

	Performance Indicator Matrix
GOAL 2:	

To continuously improve customer satisfaction for OGB customers

OBJECTIVE	Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality
Objective 2.3: Increase staff member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013	Baseline number of employee communication strategies	Number of effective employee communication strategies	Percentage of effective employee communication strategies	Cost to improve employee communication strategies	Improvement in staff member satisfaction linked to employee communication strategies

GOAL 2:

Performance Indicator Matrix

To continuously improve customer satisfaction for OGB customers

OBJECTIVE	Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality
Objective 2.3: Increase staff member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012- 2013.	Baseline number of employee grievances	Number of resolved grievances.	Percentage of resolved grievances to baseline number of resolved grievances.	Number of contacts per <i>resolved</i> grievance.	Reduction in average number of contacts to resolve grievances.
	Baseline employee satisfaction rating from initial survey.	Change in employee satisfaction annually. (To be done annually, targeted change by FY-09-10.)	Percentage of change in employee satisfaction rating. (To be done annually, targeted change by FY-09-10.)	Average time to resolve each grievance.	Reduction in average amount of time to resolve grievances. (same as Outcome)

# Goals Objectives Strategy

2.4 Increase agency satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.		Increase agency satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.
2	11	Idea (Contains a consection of the Contains and Administration of the Contains
2.4	4.1	Identify training areas for individual agencies and develop training efforts tailored to the individual agency
		needs.
2.4	4.2	Routine education and training to participating agencies on policy and procedure updates.
2.4	4.3	Implement annual satisfaction survey (annual report card) and focus group meetings to include routine
		feedback from agencies regarding OGB policy and procedure development. (1st year serves as baseline)

GOAL 2: To continuously	Performance Indicator Matrix To continuously improve customer satisfaction for OGB customers.								
OBJECTIVE	Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality				
Objective 2.4: Increase agency satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.	Number of agency personnel in need of training	Number of agency personnel trained	Percentage of agency personnel trained vs. agency personnel in need of training	Reduction in calls from agencies	(same as Outcome)				

3		Content
		To improve the health of plan members.
3.1		To increase the number of innovative programs for plan members by 15%.
	3.1.1	Identify diseases for which a wellness program can be implemented.
	3.1.2	Enhance current wellness programs.
	3.1.3	Educate plan members in regards to OGB wellness programs.
	3.1.4	Provide health assessments on the website for plan members to utilize to educate themselves regarding their
		health status.

GOAL 3:	Performance Indicator Matrix To improve the health of plan members.								
OBJECTIVE		Input	Output	Outcome	Efficiency	Quality			
Objective 3.1: To increase the number of innovative programs for plan members by 15%.		Baseline number of wellness programs	Number of <u>effective</u> wellness programs	Percent of <u>effective</u> wellness programs to number of wellness programs	Cost to improve wellness programs to effective standard	Reduction in targeted health care costs			

# Office of Group Benefits

# APPENDIX A

# INTEGRATED COMPONENTS OF VISION 2020

# Office of Group Benefits Strategic Plan Integrated Components of Vision 2020

Louisiana: Vision 2020	Office of Group Benefits Strategic Plan FY 2008-2013
Louisiana: Vision 2020  Vision 2020 is a living strategic plan. This long-term plan has provided a unified vision and a common direction for Louisiana's economic development efforts. Louisiana's citizens, businesses, agencies, and other groups called for and continue to seek a unified, coherent process for improving the state.	Office of Group Benefits Revised Office of Group Benefits Philosophy. Philosophy now reads:  The philosophy of the Office of Group Benefits is to use informed decision-making, proactive services, and innovative actions for plan members and providers, while offering a competitive system of benefits to assist the state of Louisiana's goal to attract and retain competent and productive employees.

Office of Group Benefits Strategic Plan Integrated Components of Vision 2020

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Goals & Objectives	Office of Group Benefits Goals & Objectives	Office of Group Benefits
Goal 1  To be a learning enterprise in which all Louisiana businesses, institutions, and citizens are actively engaged in the pursuit of knowledge.  Objective 1.9  To make workforce education and technical training programs widely available at the secondary and post – secondary levels.	Goal 3  To improve the health of plan members.  Objective 3.1  To increase the number of innovative programs for plan members by 15%.	Strategy 3.1.3  Educate plan members in regards to OGB wellness programs.  Strategy 3.1.4  Provide health assessments on the website for plan members to utilize to educate them regarding their health status.
Goal 1  To be a learning enterprise in which all Louisiana businesses, institutions, and citizens are actively engaged in the pursuit of knowledge.  Objective 1.10  To build a workforce with the education and skills necessary to meet the needs of business in a knowledge-based economy through flexible systems and responsive programs.	Goal 2 To continuously increase customer satisfaction for OGB customers.  Objective 2.3 Increase staff member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.	Strategy 2.3.5 Enhance educational efforts for Office of Group Benefits staff members to ensure transfer of training into the workplace.

Office of Group Benefits Strategic Plan Integrated Components of Vision 2020

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Goals & Objectives	Office of Group Benefits Goals & Objectives	Office of Group Benefits	
Goal 1 To be a learning enterprise in which all Louisiana businesses, institutions, and citizens are actively engaged in the pursuit of knowledge.	Goal 1 Measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at the Office of Group Benefits.	-	
Objective 1.11 To increase workforce participation rates among traditionally underutilized sources of workers.	Objective 1.1 To obtain accreditation of primary program functions by a nationally recognized accrediting body by FY 2012-2013.		
Goal 3 To achieve a standard of living among the top ten states in America.  Objective 3.1 To increase personal income and assets of all citizens.	Goal 2 To continuously increase customer satisfaction for OGB customers.  Objective 2.3 Increase staff member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.	Strategy 2.3.1 Promote internal employment stability by defining career paths and identifying the causes of turnover.  Strategy 2.3.5 Enhance educational efforts for Office of Group Benefits staff members to	
		ensure transfer of training into the workplace.	

Office of Group Benefits Strategic Plan Integrated Components of Vision 2020

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Goals & Objectives	Office of Group Benefits Goals & Objectives	Office of Group Benefits
Goal 3 To achieve a standard of living among the top ten states in America.	Goal 2 To continuously increase customer satisfaction for OGB customers.	Strategy 2.1.2 Expand the provider network where appropriate.
Objective 3.3  To ensure quality of healthcare for every Louisiana citizen.	Objective 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013.	
Goal 3 To achieve a standard of living among the top ten states in America.	Goal 3 To improve the health of plan members.	Strategy 3.1.1 Identify diseases for which a wellness program can be implemented.
Objective 3.4 To improve the quality of life of Louisiana's children.	Objective 3.1 To increase the number of innovative program to plan members by 15%.	Strategy 3.1.2 Enhance current wellness program.

# Office of Group Benefits

## APPENDIX B

Avoiding Duplication of Effort within the Office of Group Benefits

### Avoiding Duplication of Effort within the Office of Group Benefits

Enhancing the agency's ability to improve operational efficiency and effectiveness was one intended outcome of the Office of Group Benefits strategic planning process. Early in the process, the CEO outlined this as a major component to a successful strategic plan for the agency. The agency approached this in various ways throughout the process.

The Office of Group Benefits focused on developing both agency wide and departmental goals and objectives. This was a new approach for the agency. In the past, each department within the Office of Group Benefits developed individual goals and objectives prior to a review for coordination of efforts agency wide. The strategic planning process enabled the agency to assess the agency's goals and objectives as well as the individual department's goals and objectives simultaneously throughout the review phases. The process yielded collaboration among the various department staff members.

The Office of Group Benefits also provided practical training on the systems approach to planning prior to compiling agency goals, objectives, and strategies. Over 50 employees from the Office of Group Benefits participated in this training. This enabled the staff to experience firsthand the significance of understanding the interrelatedness of the departmental functions and processes.

Additionally, the review phases of the strategic planning process served as built- in safeguards for duplication of efforts. As the Office of Group Benefits further analyzes the core processes, effectiveness and efficiency will be further enhanced. Gathering input and feedback at all levels within the agency exposed potential duplications of effort as well. For example, the agency identified an opportunity for the staff member whose primary efforts involve data collection to partner with a staff member in the information technology department and a staff member in the training department to provide practical training on the use of benchmarking techniques to the various departments within the agency.

# Office of Group Benefits

## APPENDIX C

Office of Group Benefits Policies Benefiting Women and Children

## Office of Group Benefits Policies Benefiting Women and Children

Office of Group Benefits policies/programs that benefit women and children Act 1078 of 2003

### Plan members/ Staff members

Web based wellness program United Behavioral Health- mental health program Employee Assistance Program Safe Net

#### Staff members

Implement employee assistance program Flex-Hours Plan

# Office of Group Benefits

## APPENDIX D

**Definition of Unclear Terms** 

## **Definition of Unclear Terms**

- **1.2.1 Job Aid-** any administrative tool used to track/measure processes
- **1.2.3 Dash Boards** internal system providing continual, up-dated performance **reports**
- **2.1.3 Wellness Plan Programs** any initiative that promotes health in members
- **2.3.4 Group-Vine-** internal communication program (employee newsletter)

# Office of Group Benefits

# **APPENDIX E**

**Strategic Planning Program Evaluation** 

## Office of Group Benefits Strategic Planning Program Evaluation

#### "Where are we?"

#### 1. Strategic Planning Retreat:

- 2-day retreat to perform multiple internal assessments relating to program variables and customers (internal/external).
- □ CEO presents annual agency- wide assessment.
- □ Staff selects a theme for strategic planning initiative "Charting the Course".

#### 2. Staff Communication:

- □ Strategic planning team communicates retreat developments to OGB staff.
- □ Themed flyers posted throughout agency to raise awareness and acceptance of the strategic planning process.

#### 3. <u>Data Collection</u>:

- □ External Assessments
  - Individual interviews- Office of Group Benefits plan providers (20 providers)
- □ Internal Assessments
  - Focus group-Office of Group Benefits staff members (25 staff members)
  - Individual interviews- Office of Group Benefits staff members (10 staff members)

#### 4. Staff Training:

Over 50 OGB employees attended training course "Managing and Improving Work Processes" – tailored for the special needs of OGB in preparation for the development of goals, objectives, strategies and performance indicators.

#### 5. Environmental Scan Materials:

- □ Materials compiled and distributed in the form of a tool kit:
  - Internal/External Assessments
  - Project Methodology/Findings
  - Supplemental Tools for Departmental Use

#### "Where do we want to be?"

#### 1. "Refining Our Identity":

□ Strategic planning team holds a ½-day meeting to refine program vision and mission statement.

#### 2. Staff Communication:

□ CEO shares new mission vision with entire staff.

#### 3. Identifying Program Priorities:

- □ Open discussion between the strategic planning team and Office of Group Benefits plan providers to identify target areas for collaboration and improvement.
- □ Strategic planning team prioritizes areas for improvement (potential goals).

#### 4. Identify Goals and Objectives:

- Separate facilitated meetings with each department, individually, to identify agency-wide and department-wide goals and objectives (at least three meetings per department).
  - Meeting #1 Agenda
    - Education Goals and objectives
    - Brainstorming Possible target areas
  - Meeting #2 Agenda
    - Review draft target areas
    - Refine ideas for goals and objectives
  - Meeting #3 Agenda
    - Review revised objectives
    - Brainstorm additional target areas
- ☐ Meeting participants included directors, managers, key staff members for each department, team members from LSU Public Management Program.

#### 5. Staff Input and Feedback:

- Following each meeting, participants returned to respective department to gather input/feedback from staff members regarding developments/ideas.
  - Materials used:
    - i. Manageware strategic planning guideline
- 6. Finalize Agency Goals and Objectives:
  - ☐ Goals and Objectives reviewed/approved by CEO prior to final strategy/performance indicator planning.

### "How will we get there?"

#### 1. <u>Developing Strategies</u>:

- □ ½ day meeting to gather feedback on objectives and brainstorm (storyboard) strategies.
  - Meeting Agenda
    - Education- Strategy Development (strategy analysis sheets included); Performance Indicators; Statewide Vision 20/20 Plan
    - Brainstorming- Possible target strategies;
    - Collaboration- Department representatives share strategies with other staff members on how to accomplish the established objectives.
- Participants included all directors, managers, and key staff (over 50 staff members).

#### 2. Strategic Planning Data Review/Feedback Compilation:

- ☐ Goals/objectives/strategies compiled following departmental feedback.
- □ Strategic planning team reviews plan to ensure agency-wide coordination of plans.

## "How will we measure our progress?"

#### 1. <u>Developing Performance Indicators</u>:

- ☐ Group meeting with OGB staff to develop performance indicators (approximately 30 40 staff members). Meeting Agenda:
  - Education- performance indicators
  - Review/refine established performance indicators

#### Materials used:

- Manageware performance indicator material
- Sample performance indicator worksheet
- Performance indicator documentation worksheet

#### "How well did we do?"

#### 1. Strategic Planning Project Assessment:

□ Survey administered to evaluate strategic planning process and gather feedback/input from OGB staff based upon their involvement in the process.

# Office of Group Benefits

## APPENDIX F

**Performance Indicator Documentation** 

## Objective 1.1: Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Sub-Program:** OGB/Executive/HIPAA Compliance Unit

Objective: 1.1

Indicator: Baseline number of audit monitoring functions required by HIPAA

1. Indicator Type:   Inp	out
2. Indicator Rationale:	To insure OGB's compliance to all provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto.
	nternal - OGB's Privacy Policies & Procedures and individual division/unit/section operational policies and procedures.
4. Frequency and Timir	Rotational auditing, daily monitoring, new employee and as needed training, and monthly
of Collection and/or	reporting.
Reporting:	
5. Calculation	A simple count of the number of audits, monitors, training conducted and reports.
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclea	r Terms: None.
7. Aggregate/Disaggre	gate Figure: Aggregate.

8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and qualit		d quality:	HIPAA Audit Team
9. Indicator Limitations:	To be determined.		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   Training effectiveness, audit schedules, and FTEs needed to perform			
and Agency processes: auditing, monitoring, and training duties.			

**Sub-Program:** OGB/Executive/HIPAA Compliance Unit

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Number of audit monitoring functions complying with HIPAA standards.

1. Indicator Type: C	Dutput
2. Indicator Rationale:	: To summarize and report on data collected from audits, monitors, and training conducted.
3. Indicator Source:	Internal – HIPAA Compliance Unit databases, spreadsheets, and summary reports.
,	
4. Frequency and Tim	ning Monthly Summary Reports.
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation	A simple count of completed functions.
Methodology:	

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: None.		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate.		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: HIPAA Compliance Unit Manager and Director.		
9. Indicator Limitations: To be determined.		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:	Process efficiency and effectiveness, and employee performances. Training effectiveness, audit schedules, and FTEs needed to perform auditing, monitoring, and training duties.	

**Sub-Program:** OGB/Executive/HIPAA Compliance Unit

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Percentage of HIPAA compliant functions.

1. Indicator Type:	Outcome
2. Indicator Rational	e: Assurance that OGB is complying with all provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and
	Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto
3. Indicator Source:	Internal functions scheduled / functions completed.
4. Frequency and Tir	ning Annual.
of Collection and/or	

Reporting:		
5. Calculation	Percentage of functions co	ompleted over functions scheduled.
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear	Terms: None.	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate/	ate Figure:   Aggregate.	
8. Responsible party for a	8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: HIPAA Compliance Unit Director.	
9. Indicator Limitations: To be determined.		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Process efficiency and effectiveness, and employee performances.		
and Agency processes:		Training effectiveness, audit schedules, and FTEs needed to perform
		auditing, monitoring, and training duties.

**Sub-Program:** OGB/Executive/HIPAA Compliance Unit

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Time to complete HIPAA audit monitoring functions

1. Indicator Type: Efficiency				
2. Indicator Rationale: Simple measure of time to	2. Indicator Rationale: Simple measure of time to complete audits, monitors, training, and reports.			
3. Indicator Source: Internal – record of time it to	ok to complete each function.			
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or reporting. Reporting:	of Collection and/or reporting.			
5. Calculation Simple count of time it too Methodology:				
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: None				
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate				
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: HIPAA Audit Team				
9. Indicator Limitations: HIPAA Audit Team				
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:  Training effectiveness, and employee performances.  Training effectiveness, audit schedules, and FTEs needed to perform auditing, monitoring, and training duties.				

**Sub-Program:** OGB/Executive/HIPAA Compliance Unit

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Increase in percentage of HIPAA compliant functions

1. Indicator Type: Quality
2. Indicator Rationale: Assurance that OGB is complying with all provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto
3. Indicator Source: Internal – summary reports
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:  Monthly Summary Reports
5. Calculation Functions/violations?  Methodology:
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: None
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: HIPAA Compliance Unit Manager and Director

9. Indicator Limitations: To be determined			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:	Process efficiency and effectiveness, and employee performances. Training effectiveness, audit schedules, and FTEs needed to perform auditing, monitoring, and training duties.		
Performar Sub-Program: Internal Audit Objective: 1.1 To measure and improve operational Indicator: Current number of audit hours used for e			
1. Indicator Type: Input			
2. Indicator Rationale: Estimated budgeted hours to complete audit assigned.			
3. Indicator Source: Report of actual to estimated	3. Indicator Source: Report of actual to estimated hours assigned each audit		
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:  Bi-weekly/Monthly			
5. Calculation Number of hour assigned with Methodology:	vs. actual budget hours.		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA			
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate			

8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director and/or Audit Supervisor		
9. Indicator Limitations: Assigned budgets are based	sed on best estimate. Estimates can be over/under stated	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.	
and Agency processes:	The state of the s	
una rigency processes.		
Performan	nce Indicator Documentation	
Sub-Program: Internal Audit	ice marcator bocamentation	
<b>Objective: 1.1</b> To measure and improve operational	Lafficiancy and affectiveness at OCR	
Indicator: Number of audit hours used for each risk	k assessed process.	
1. Indicator Type: Output		
2. Indicator Rationale: Actual hours required to co	omplete and assigned audit.	
3. Indicator Source: Report of audit budget to esti	mated hours assigned each audit	
4. Frequency and Timing Bi-weekly/Monthly		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
reporting.		
5. Calculation Number of hour assigned	ve actual budget bours	
l G	vs. actual budget flours.	
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA		

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, a	and quality: Audit Director and/or Audit Supervisor	
9. Indicator Limitations: Assigned budgets are based on best estimate. Estimated can be over/under stated.		
-		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.		
and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Internal Audit

**Objective: 1.1** To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

**Indicator**: Reduction in number of audit hours used for each risk assessed process.

1. Indicator Type:	Outcome		
2. Indicator Rational	lle: Actual hours required to complete an assigned audit vs. estimated hours.		
3. Indicator Source:	Report of actual audit hours budget to estimated hours budgeted for assigned audit		
4. Frequency and Ti	iming Bi-weekly/Monthly		
of Collection and/or	$\sigma$ r		
Reporting:			
5. Calculation	Number of hour assigned vs. actually budget hours.		
Methodology:			

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA		
Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director and/or Audit Supervisor		
Indicator Limitations: Estimates can be over/under stated		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.		
and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Internal Audit

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

**Indicator**: Number of audits completed annually for risk assessed processes.

1. Indicator Type: Output		
2. Indicator Rationale:	Measures the number of audits performed yearly in accordance with frequency requirements established during assessment process.	
3. Indicator Source:	Audits completed in comparison to audits planned and frequency requirements.	
4. Frequency and Timing Yearly		
of Collection and/or	ing rearry	
Reporting:		

5. Calculation	Completion of audits performed in comparison to assessed audits and audit frequency	
Methodology:	requirements determined during risk assessment process.	
6. Definitions of Unclea	r Terms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggre	gate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director		
9. Indicator Limitations: Resources maybe allocated to special projects not assessed in original audit Plan.		
10. Indicator use in Mar	10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.	
and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Internal Audit

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

**Indicator**: Increase in number of audits completed

1. Indicator Type: C	Outcome
2. Indicator Rationale:	Measures the number of audits performed yearly in accordance with frequency requirements established during assessments process.
	Audits completed in comparison to audits planned and frequency requirements.

4. Frequency and Timing	Yearly	
of Collection and/or	rearry	
Reporting:		
Reporting.		
5. Calculation Co	ompletion of audits performed in comparison to assessed audits and audit frequency	
	quirements determined during risk assessment process.	
Methodology.	autements determined during risk assessment process.	
6. Definitions of Unclear Te	erms: NA	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate	Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for dat	ta collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director	
9. Indicator Limitations:   I	Resources maybe allocated to special projects not assessed in original audit Plan.	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.		
and Agency processes:		
	Doutouman so In disator Documentation	
Performance Indicator Documentation  Sub Programs Office of Croup Penelite Internal Audit		
Sub-Program: Office of Group Benefits – Internal Audit Objective: 1.1 To measure and improve operational		
Indicator: Current percentage completion of annual assessed processes.		
marcator. Current percenta	ige completion of affilial assessed processes.	
1. Indicator Type: Input		
2. Indicator Rationale: Mo	easure the percentage completion of audits assessed in Annual Audit Plan	

3. Indicator Source:	Audi	ts completed in comparison to audits planned.	
4. Frequency and Time	ing	Yearly	
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation		mpletion of audits performed in comparison to assed audits and audit frequency	
Methodology:	rec	uirements determined during risk assessment process.	
6. Definitions of Uncle	ear Te	rms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director			
9. Indicator Limitations: Resources maybe allocated to special projects not assessed in original audit Plan.			
10. Indicator use in Ma	anage	ment decision-making To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.	
and Agency processes:			

**Sub-Program**: Internal Audit

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB

**Indicator**: Percentage completion of population of risk assessed processes.

1. Indicator Type: Output		
2. Indicator Rationale: N	Measures the percentage completion of audits assessed in Annual Audit Plan	
3. Indicator Source: Au	dits completed in comparison to audits planned.	
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:	Yearly	
	Completion of audits performed in comparison to assessed audits and audit frequency requirement determined during risk assessment process.	
6. Definitions of Unclear	Геrms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggrega	te Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director		
9. Indicator Limitations: Resources maybe allocated to special projects not assessed in original audit Plan.		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:  To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.		

**Sub-Program:** Internal Audit

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

**Indicator**: Increase in percentage completion of risk assessed processes.

1. Indicator Type: Outcome			
2. Indicator Rationale:	Measure percentage of audits planned to audits actual completed		
2. marcator Rationale.	2. Indicator Rationale: Measure percentage of audits planned to audits actual completed.		
3. Indicator Source: Au	dits completed in comparison to audits planned.		
4. Frequency and Timing	Yearly		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
	Completion of audits performed in comparison to assessed audits and audit frequency		
Methodology: 1	requirements determined during risk assessment process.		
6. Definitions of Unclear	Terms: NA		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director			
9. Indicator Limitations: Resources maybe allocated to special projects not assessed in original audit Plan.			

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.
and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Internal Audit

**Objective:** 1.1 to measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB

**Indicator**: Baseline number of required risk assessed audits.

1. Indicator Type: Input				
2. Indicator Rationale: Measure the cost of labor hours to follow-up on post audit non compliance issues.				
3. Indicator Source: Labor hours spent on non compliance matters				
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:				
	Budget hours of auditors used in post compliance follow-up.			
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA				
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate				
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director				

9. Indicator Limitations: Resources maybe allocated to special projects not assessed in original audit Plan.					
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:	To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.				
Performance Indicator Documentation					
Sub-Program: Internal Audit					
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 to measure and improve operational					
Indicator: Number of risk assessed audits completed where post audit compliance is found.					
1. Indicator Type: Output					
2. Indicator Rationale: Cost in labor hours to resolve audit findings					
3. Indicator Source: Audit hours to resolve audit results and findings.					
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:					
5. Calculation Budget hours of auditors u Methodology:	ised in post compliance follow-up.				
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA					
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate					

8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director				
9. Indicator Limitations: NA				
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.				
and Agency processes:				
Performance Indicator Documentation				
Sub-Program: Internal Audit				
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 to measure ad improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.				
Indicator: Percentage of completed audits where noncompliance is found.				
1. Indicator Type: Outcome				
2. Indicator Rationale: Measure the cost of labor hours to follow-up on post audit non compliance issues.				
3. Indicator Source: Labor hours spent on non compliance matters.				
4. Frequency and Timing Yearly				
of Collection and/or				
Reporting:				
5. Calculation Budget hours of auditors used in post compliance follow-up.				
Methodology:				
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA				
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA				

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate				
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director				
9. Indicator Limitations: Resources maybe allocated to special projects not assessed in original audit Plan.				
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To monitor auditors' performance and process improvements.				
and Agency processes:				
Performance Indicator Documentation				
remormance mulcator Documentation				
Sub-Program: Office of Group Benefits - Internal Audit				
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 to measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.				
<b>Indicator</b> : Cost in Labor hours to correct post audit noncompliance.				
marcator. Cost in Euror nours to correct post addit noncompliance.				
1. Indicator Type: Efficiency				
2. Indicator Rationale: Measures the cost of labor hours to follow-up on post audit non compliance issues.				
3. Indicator Source: Labor hours spent on non compliance matters.				
4. Frequency and Timing Yearly				
of Collection and/or				
Reporting:				
5. Calculation Budget hours of auditors used in post compliance follow-up.				

Methodology:				
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA				
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate				
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Audit Director				
9. Indicator Limitations: Resources maybe allocated to special projects not assessed in original audit Plan.				
		king To monitor auditor's performance and process improvements.		
and Agency processes:				
O I. 1: ( I : : ( - ( :				
9. Indicator Limitations:				
10 T 1' ' ' M	, 1	1 ·		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making				
and Agency processes:				

Sub-Program: Administration-Training

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Baseline number of courses needed to address employee functions that need improvement *from training* 

1. Indicator Type: Input	
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine a baseline to begin measurement	
3. Indicator Source: Internal- simple count of courses needed	
·	
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly	
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation Standard calculation (sum of courses needed)	
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Administration	
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   TBD	
and Agency processes:	

Sub-Program: Administration-Training

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Number of courses delivered to accommodate baseline number

1. Indicator Type: Output	
2. Indicator Rationale: To de	etermine the number of courses delivered to meet need
3. Indicator Source: Internal	ıl- simple count of delivered courses within specified timeframe
	Monthly
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
	dard calculation (sum of delivered courses)
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear Term	ms: N/A
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Fig.	igure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for data of	collection, analysis, and quality: Administration
9. Indicator Limitations:   TBI	D

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	TBD
and Agency processes:	

Sub-Program: Administration-Training

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Percentage of courses delivered vs. courses needed

1. Indicator Type: C	Dutcome
2. Indicator Rationale	, 0
	how sufficiently the need has been met
3. Indicator Source:	Internal- percentage determined by dividing delivered courses (output) over needed courses
	(input)
4. Frequency and Tim	ing Monthly
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation	Standard calculation- ratio of "output" over "input"
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Uncle	ear Terms: N/A

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for	or data collection, analysis, and quality: Administration	
9. Indicator Limitations	s: TBD	
10. Indicator use in Ma	nagement decision-making TBD	
and Agency processes:		
	·	
	Performance Indicator Documentation	
Sub-Program: Adminis	stration- Training	
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 Improve	e efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013	
Indicator: Cost per par	ticipant per course	
1. Indicator Type: Efficiency		
2. Indicator Rationale: To provide a measure of the amount each participant will cost per course taken		
3. Indicator Source: I	Internal- a record of the cost per participant per course	
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
	-	
5. Calculation	Standard Calculation- amount determined by dividing the total cost to deliver the course by the	
Methodology:	number of participants in the course	
I 0/	1 1	

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, an	d quality: Administration
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	TBD
and Agency processes:	
Dayfayına	and Indicator Description
Sub-Program: Administration- Training	nce Indicator Documentation
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness	of OCB processes by 20% by EV 2012-2013
<b>Indicator</b> : Improve efficiency and effectiveness  Indicator: Improvement in customer satisfaction rel	1 7
indicator. Improvement in customer satisfaction ref	ated to training provided
1. Indicator Type: Quality	
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine improvemen	at in training processes
3. Indicator Source: Internal	
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly	
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	

5. Calculation Methodology:		
Methodology.		
6. Definitions of Unclea	r Terms: N/A	
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	gate Figure:	
8. Responsible party for	data collection, analysis, and quality: Administration	
9. Indicator Limitations	: TBD	
	nagement decision-making TBD	
and Agency processes:		
	Performance Indicator Documentation	
Sub Program: Quality A		
<b>Objective:</b> Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013		
Indicator: Baseline num		
	1	
1. Indicator Type: Inp	out	
2. Indicator Rationale:	In order to improve efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes, the number of linked	
	processes must first be identified. This number will provide a baseline to begin measurement.	
3. Indicator Source: I	nternal	
4 Frequency and Timin	o   Annually	

of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
reporting.	
5. Calculation	Standard count (sum of linked processes)
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear	Terms: "linked processes": processes wherein the output of one process will become the input
	of another process.
	of unotice process.
7. Aggregate/Disaggrega	ate Figure:   Aggregate figure- the number of linked processes is agency-wide (OGB)
8. Responsible party for o	data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments
9. Indicator Limitations:	TBD
10 Indicator use in Mana	agement decision-making TBD
	decircut decision making 100
and Agency processes:	

**Sub Program:** Quality Assurance

**Objective:** Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Number of processes managed for improvement

1. Indicator Type: Ou	tput
2. Indicator Rationale:	After identifying the baseline number, it is necessary to measure the number of processes

m	anaged for improvement	
3. Indicator Source: Inter	mal	
5. Indicator Source. Inter	Hai	
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:	Annually	
reporting.		
5. Calculation St. Methodology:	andard count (sum of managed processes)	
6. Definitions of Unclear Te	erms: "manage": any action taken to improve linked-processes	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Disaggregate- taken from the total population of linked processes		
8. Responsible party for da	ta collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments	
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:		

**Sub Program:** Quality Assurance

**Objective:** Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Percentage of processes improved after management

1. Indicator Type: Outco	ome
2. Indicator Rationale: T	o determine effectiveness of methods used to improve processes
3. Indicator Source: Inte	rnal
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:	Annually
	tandard calculation- ratio of improved linked processes over the baseline number of linked rocesses
6. Definitions of Unclear T	erms: "improved": improvement measured by the amount of time saved due to managing linked processes
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate- percentage of improved linked processes	
8. Responsible party for da	ata collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments
9. Indicator Limitations:	TBD
10. Indicator use in Managand Agency processes:	rement decision-making TBD

Sub Program: Quality Assurance

**Objective:** Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Percentage reduction of time or labor hours in improved processes

1. Indicator Type: Efficiency		
2. Indicator Rationale: To measure the cost effectiveness, return & investment, and productivity		
3. Indicator Source: Internal		
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:		
5. Calculation Standard calculation- ratio of reduction of time/labor hours in improved processes over the time Methodology: /labor hours in processes before improvement		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments		
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:		

**Sub Program:** Quality Assurance

**Objective:** Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: (same as Outcome)

1. Indicator Type: Quality			
<u></u>			
2. Indicator Rationale:	To determine	e effectiveness of methods used to improve processes	
3. Indicator Source: In	3. Indicator Source: Internal		
4. Frequency and Timing	g Annually		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation	Standard cal	lculation- ratio of improved linked processes over the baseline number of linked	
Methodology:	processes		
	T		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: ":		nproved": improvement measured by the amount of time saved due to managing	
linked processes			
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate- percentage of improved linked processes			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments			

9. Indicator Limitations: T	BD
10. Indicator use in Manager and Agency processes:	ment decision-making TBD
Code Brownson Information C	Performance Indicator Documentation
Sub Program: Information S	
-	iency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013
<b>Indicator</b> : Baseline number of	of fiftked processes
1. Indicator Type: Input	
	order to improve efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes, the number of linked cesses must first be identified. This number will provide a baseline to begin measurement.
3. Indicator Source: Intern	nal
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:	Annually
·	
5. Calculation Star	ndard count (sum of linked processes)
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear Ter	ms: "linked processes": processes wherein the output of one process will become the input of another process.

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate figure- the number of linked processes is agency-wide (OGB)		
8. Responsible party for	data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments	
9. Indicator Limitations:	TBD	
10. Indicator use in Mana	agement decision-making TBD	
and Agency processes:		
	Performance Indicator Documentation	
Sub Program: Information		
<u>o</u>	efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013	
	ocesses managed for improvement	
marcator. Number of pr	ocesses managed for improvement	
1. Indicator Type: Out	nut	
1. Indicator Type:   Out	put	
2. Indicator Rationale:	After identifying the baseline number, it is necessary to measure the number of processes	
z. marcator rationale.	managed for improvement	
	managea for improvement	
3. Indicator Source: In	ternal	
4. Frequency and Timing	Annually	
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation	Standard count (sum of managed processes)	
Methodology:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: "manage": any action taken to improve linked-processes		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Disaggregate- taken from the total population of linked processes		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments		
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making TBD		
and Agency processes:		
Performance Indicator Documentation		
Sub Program: Information Services		
<b>Objective:</b> Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013		
Indicator: Percentage of processes improved after management		
1. Indicator Type: Outcome		
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine effectiveness of methods used to improve processes		
3. Indicator Source: Internal		
4. Frequency and Timing   Annually		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		

5. Calculation	Standard calculation- ratio of improved linked processes over the baseline number of linked			
Methodology:	processes	processes		
6. Definitions of Uncle	ear Terms:   ":	"improved": improvement measured by the amount of time saved due to managing		
	li	linked processes		
7. Aggregate/Disaggr	egate Figure:	Aggregate- percentage o	f improved linked processes	
8. Responsible party for	8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments			
9. Indicator Limitation	ns: TBD			
	10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   TBD			
and Agency processes:				

**Sub Program:** Information Services

**Objective:** Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Percentage reduction of time or labor hours in improved processes

1. Indicator Type: Efficiency		
<u></u>		
2. Indicator Rationale:	To measure the cost effectiveness, return & investment, and productivity	
3. Indicator Source:	Internal	

4. Frequency and Timing	Annually		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
	andard calculation- ratio of reduction of time/labor hours in improved processes over the time.		
Methodology: /la	abor hours in processes before improvement		
6. Definitions of Unclear Te	rms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate	Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for dat	a collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments		
9. Indicator Limitations: T	BD		
10. Indicator use in Manage and Agency processes:	ement decision-making TBD		
and rigerity processes.			
Performance Indicator Documentation			
Sub Program: Information S			
<b>Objective:</b> Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013			
<b>Indicator</b> : (same as Outcom	ne)		
1. Indicator Type: Quality	7		
2. Indicator Rationale: To	determine effectiveness of methods used to improve processes		

3. Indicator Source: Internal		
4. Frequency and Timin of Collection and/or Reporting:	g Annually	
5. Calculation Methodology:	Standard calculation- ratio of improved linked processes over the baseline number of linked processes	
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: "improved": improvement measured by the amount of time saved due to managing linked processes		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate- percentage of improved linked processes		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments		
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:		

**Sub Program:** Eligibility

**Objective:** 1.2 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20%

Indicator: Baseline number of external agency personnel in need of training

1. Indicator Type: Input	
2. Indicator Rationale: To	determine baseline number to begin measurement
3. Indicator Source: Exter	rnal- simple count of agency personnel in need of training provided by agencies in need
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:	Monthly
5. Calculation Sta Methodology:	andard calculation- sum of personnel in need of training
6. Definitions of Unclear Te	erms: N/A
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate	Figure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for dat	ta collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments
9. Indicator Limitations: 1	ГВО
10. Indicator use in Manage and Agency processes:	ement decision-making TBD

**Sub Program:** Eligibility

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% **Indicator**: Number of external agency personnel trained

1. Indicator Type: Output			
2. Indicator Rationale: T	2. Indicator Rationale: To determine the number of agency personnel to meet need		
3. Indicator Source: Internal- simple count of agency personnel actually trained			
4. Frequency and Timing	Monthly		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
	Standard calculation (sum of personnel trained)		
Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear T	Terms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate	te Figure:   Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors			
9. Indicator Limitations:	TBD		

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	TBD
and Agency processes:	

Sub Program: Eligibility
Objective: 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% Indicator: Percentage of agency personnel trained vs. personnel in need of training

1. Indicator Type: Outcome		
2. Indicator Rationale: By	y measuring the percentage of agency personnel trained vs. agency personnel in need of	
	aining, related OGB departments will be able to determine how sufficiently the need has been	
m	et	
3. Indicator Source: Inter	rnal- percentage established by dividing trained personnel by untrained personnel	
4. Frequency and Timing	Monthly	
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
Reporting.		
	andard calculation- ratio of "output" over "input"	
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		

8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors			
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   TBD			
and Agency processes:			
Performance Indicator Doc	<u>umentation</u>		
<b>Sub Program:</b> Eligibility <b>Objective:</b> 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB pr	rocossos by 20%		
<b>Indicator</b> : Cost per participant per course	ocesses by 20%		
indicator. Cost per participant per course			
1. Indicator Type: Efficiency			
I maleuter Type:   Efficiency			
2. Indicator Rationale: To provide a measure of the amount each participant will cost per course taken			
2. militario 2 militario de la provincia in media de la militaria de la participaria de la constancia de la provincia de la provincia de la militaria de la participaria de la provincia della provincia della provincia de la provincia de la provincia della			
3. Indicator Source: Internal- record of the cost per participant per course			
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly			
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
	y dividing the total cost to deliver the course by the		
Methodology: number of participants in the course			
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A			

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors		
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making TBD		
and Agency processes:		
Performance Indicator Documentation		
Carlo Danoguama Eligibility		
Sub Program: Eligibility  Objective: 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OCB processes by 20%		
Objective: 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20%		
Indicator: Improvement in customer satisfaction related to training provided		
1. Indicator Type: Quality		
1. Halator Type. Quanty		
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine improvement in training processes		
2. maicuoi maionaic.   10 acternante improvement in transmit processes		
3. Indicator Source: Internal		
4. Frequency and Timing   Monthly		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation		
Methodology:		

6. Definitions of Unclear Te	erms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate	Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for dat	ta collection, analysis, and c	quality: OGB directors	
9. Indicator Limitations: \[ \]	ГВО		
10. Indicator use in Manage	ement decision-making   T	TBD	
and Agency processes:			
		Indicator Documentation	
Sub-Program: Claims Proce			
-	•	s of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013	
Indicator: Baseline number of unsolicited refunds			
1. Indicator Type:   Input			
	1		
2. Indicator Rationale: To	determine starting point for	or improvement in resolution time	
3. Indicator Source: Internal count of staged work			
4. Frequency and Timing		1 (4 4	
of Collection and/or	Monthly, during the first v	week of the month	
Reporting.	1		

5. Calculation	Count original volume; deduct cleared cases	
Methodology:	Start the next month's count with addition of newly received cases	
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Not Applicable		
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	gate Figure: Disaggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: CP Division; OP Team Supervisor		
9. Indicator Limitations: None known at this time		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Determine reasons for receipt of these refunds and target		
and Agency processes: appropriate training areas		

**Sub-Program:** Claims Processing

**Objective**: 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Number of unsolicited refunds <u>cleared</u>

1. Indicator Type:   C	Dutput
2. Indicator Rationale	: To determine volume of unsolicited refunds handled during the timeframe measured
3. Indicator Source:	Internal count of staged work

4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:	_	Monthly, during the first week of the month	
5. Calculation Count number of unsolicited refunds handled during the measured timeframe Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Not Applicable			
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Disaggregate			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: CP Division; OP Team Supervisor			
9. Indicator Limitations: None known at this time			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:  Determine reasons for receipt of these refunds and target appropriate training areas		1	

**Sub-Program:** Claims Processing

**Objective**: 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Percentage of unsolicited refunds cleared vs. total number of unsolicited refunds

1. Indicator Type: Outcome		
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine percentage of	of staged volume that was cleared during the measured timeframe	
3. Indicator Source: Internal count of staged and	completed work	
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Monthly, during the fir Reporting:	Monthly, during the first week of the month	
5. Calculation Count original volume; deduct cleared cases; reach a percentage of completion Methodology: Start the next month's count with addition of newly received cases		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Not Applicable		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Disaggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: CP Division; OP Team Supervisor		
9. Indicator Limitations: None known at this time		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:  Determine reasons for receipt of these refunds and target appropriate training areas		

Sub-Program: Claims Processing

**Objective**: 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Reduction in turnaround time to clear unsolicited refunds

1. Indicator Type: Efficiency		
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine improvement in clearing unsolicited refunds from prior measured timeframe		
3. Indicator Source: Internal count of sta	ged work	
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Monthly, during Reporting:	Monthly, during the first week of the month	
5. Calculation Analyze volume of reduction in staged volume against prior timeframes and volume Methodology: Calculate volume of new adds to the staged volume		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Not Applicable		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Disaggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: CP Division; OP Team Supervisor		
9. Indicator Limitations: None known at this time		
10. Indicator use in Management decisionand Agency processes:	making Determine continued reasons for receipt of these refunds and target appropriate training areas in continued or new problem areas	

**Sub-Program:** Claims Processing

Objective: 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Increase in percentage of unsolicited refunds cleared vs. baseline number of unsolicited refunds

1. Indicator Type: Quality		
2. Indicator Rationale: To	determine improvement in adjudication processes that may have caused incoming refunds	
3. Indicator Source: Inter	rnal count of staged work	
4. Frequency and Timing		
of Collection and/or	Monthly, during the first week of the month	
Reporting:		
	Count original volume; deduct cleared casesStart the next month's count with addition of	
Methodology: newly received casesCount newly received unsolicited checks each month		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms:   Not Applicable		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Disaggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: CP Division; OP Team Supervisor		
9. Indicator Limitations: None known at this time		

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	Determine reasons for receipt of these refunds and target
and Agency processes:	appropriate training areas and avoid sending out incorrect payments

Sub-Program: Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

Indicator: To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

1. Indicator Type: Input		
2. Indicator Rationale:	To measure the workload of Fiscal - Billing unit	
3. Indicator Source: 1	Internal Billing system	
4. Frequency and Timir	ng Monthly	
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation	Standard calculation from billing summary report	
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		

8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal			
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	To be determined		
and Agency processes:			
Performan	nce Indicator Documentation		
Sub-Program: Fiscal			
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 To measure and improve operational	Lefficiency and effectiveness at OGB		
<b>Indicator</b> : To improve efficiency and effectiveness of			
r			
1. Indicator Type: Output			
2. Indicator Rationale: To compare generated invoices by OGB to reconciled invoices			
3. Indicator Source: Internal log			
4 Eraguangy and Timing Monthly			
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation Standard calculation			
Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA			

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal Division		
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown		
10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To be determined.		
and Agency processes:		
Performance Indicator Documentation		
Sub-Program: Fiscal		
Objective: 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.		
Indicator: To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013		
1. Indicator Type: Outcome		
2. Indicator Rationale: To insure that prepared invoice are reconciled in accordance with LA RS		
3. Indicator Source: Calculation of billed to reconciliation		
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation Standard calculation		
J. Calculation   Jianualu Calculation		

Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figur	e: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal Division		
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown	wn	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To be determined		
and Agency processes:		

Sub-Program: Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB. **Indicator**: To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% FY 2012-2013.

1. Indicator Type:	Efficiency	
2. Indicator Rationale: To monitor the efficiency of billing staff		
3. Indicator Source:	Internal calculation	

4. Frequency and Timing	g Monthly	
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation	Standard calculation	
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear	Terms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	gate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for	data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal Division	
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To be determined		
and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

Indicator: To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

1. Indicator Type: Quality		
2. Indicator Rationale: To insure that all invoices are reconcile		
3. Indicator Source: Internal calculation		
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:		
5. Calculation Standard calculation Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal Division		
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:		

Sub-Program: Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

Indicator: To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013

1. Indicator Type: Input		
2. Indicator Rationale: To measure the workload of Fiscal – Billing unit		
3. Indicator Source: Inter	rnal Billing system	
4. Frequency and Timing	Monthly	
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
	tandard calculation from billing summary report	
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear To	erms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality:   Fiscal		
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown		

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	To be determined
and Agency processes:	

Sub-Program: Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB. **Indicator**: To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% FY 2012-2013.

1. Indicator Type: Output	
2. Indicator Rationale: T	Го compare generated invoices by OGB to reconciled invoices
3. Indicator Source: Internal log	
4. Frequency and Timing	Monthly
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation S	Standard calculation
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear T	Terms: NA
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal Division	

9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:
Performance Indicator Documentation
Sub-Program: Fiscal Objective: 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB. Indicator: To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013
1. Indicator Type: Outcome
2. Indicator Rationale: To insure that prepared invoice are reconciled in accordance with LA RS
3. Indicator Source: Calculation of billed to reconciliation
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly of Collection and/or Reporting:
5. Calculation Standard calculation Methodology:
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
. 66 -6 -1 - 66 -6		
8. Responsible party for	8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal Division	
9. Indicator Limitations:	Unknown	
	agement decision-making To be determined	
and Agency processes:		
	Performance Indicator Documentation	
	1 errormance mulcator Documentation	
Sub-Program: Fiscal		
<u>e</u>	re and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.	
	fficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% FY 2012-2013.	
•		
1. Indicator Type: Efficient	ciency	
·		
2. Indicator Rationale:	To monitor the efficiency of billing staff	
3. Indicator Source: Internal calculation		
4 E 1 E 1		
4. Frequency and Timing	g   Monthly	
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation	Standard calculation	
Methodology:		

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal Division
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   To be determined
and Agency processes:
Performance Indicator Documentation
Curla Duraguama Ficacal
Sub-Program: Fiscal Objective: 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.
<b>Indicator</b> : To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013
mulcator. To improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGD processes by 20% by 11 2012-2015
1. Indicator Type: Quality
1. Indicator Type:   Quanty
2. Indicator Rationale: To insure that all invoices are reconcile
3. Indicator Source: Internal calculation
4. Frequency and Timing   Monthly
of Collection and/or
Reporting:

5. Calculation	Standard calculation
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear	r Terms: NA
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	gate Figure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for	data collection, analysis, and quality: Fiscal Division
9. Indicator Limitations	: Unknown
10. Indicator use in Mar	nagement decision-making To be determined
and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB. **Indicator**: Baseline number of external agency personnel in need of training

1. Indicator Type: Input	
2. Indicator Rationale	: To determine baseline number to begin measurement
-	
3. Indicator Source:	External- simple count of agency personnel in need of training provided by agencies in need

4. Frequency and Timin	g Monthly
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation	Standard calculation- sum of personnel in need of training
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear	r Terms: N/A
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	gate Figure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for	data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments
9. Indicator Limitations:	TBD
10. Indicator use in Man	agement decision-making TBD
and Agency processes:	

Sub-Program: Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

Indicator: Number of external agency personnel trained

1. Indicator Type: Output	
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine the number of agency personnel to meet need	
3. Indicator Source: Internal- simple count of agency personnel actually trained	
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:	
5. Calculation Standard calculation (sum of personnel trained) Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors	
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD	
40 I 1:	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:	

Sub-Program: Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB. **Indicator**: Percentage of agency personnel trained vs. personnel in need of training

1. Indicator Type: Outcome	
2. Indicator Rationale:	By measuring the percentage of agency personnel trained vs. agency personnel in need of training, related OGB departments will be able to determine how sufficiently the need has been met
3. Indicator Source: Ir	nternal- percentage established by dividing trained personnel by untrained personnel
4. Frequency and Timin of Collection and/or Reporting:	g Monthly
[-01.1.1	
5. Calculation Methodology:	Standard calculation- ratio of "output" over "input"
6. Definitions of Unclear	r Terms: N/A
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	gate Figure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for	data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors
9. Indicator Limitations:	TBD

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	TBD
and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Fiscal

**Objective:** 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.

**Indicator**: Cost per participant per course

1. Indicator Type: Efficie	ency
2. Indicator Rationale: To	o provide a measure of the amount each participant will cost per course taken
3. Indicator Source: Inter	rnal- record of the cost per participant per course
4. Frequency and Timing	Monthly
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation St	tandard Calculation- amount determined by dividing the total cost to deliver the course by the
Methodology: nu	umber of participants in the course
6. Definitions of Unclear To	erms: N/A
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate	e Figure: Aggregate

8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making TBD
and Agency processes:
Performance Indicator Documentation
Sub-Program: Fiscal
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 To measure and improve operational efficiency and effectiveness at OGB.
Indicator: Improvement in customer satisfaction related to training provided
1. Indicator Type: Quality
1. Indicator Type.   Quanty
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine improvement in training processes
3. Indicator Source: Internal
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly
of Collection and/or
Reporting:
E. Coloulation
5. Calculation Methodology:
intentiodology.
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   TBD
and Agency processes:
Performance Indicator Documentation
Sub-Program: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013.
Indicator: (wording under each indicator type) Baseline number of Flex Plan calls.
1. Indicator Type: Input
2. Indicator Rationale: Measures percentage of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.
3. Indicator Source: Flex Plan call logs
4. Frequency and Timing Reporting Monthly
of Collection and/or
Reporting:
5. Calculation Percentage of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.

Methodology:				
6. Definitions of Unclear Terr	6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: None			
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate F	Figure: Aggregate			
8. Responsible party for data	8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services			
9. Indicator Limitations: FE	BIS has no control of th	ne indicator		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Yes.				
and Agency processes:				

**Sub-Program:** Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013.

1. Indicator Type: Output		
2. Indicator Rationale: Measures percentage of resolve Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.		
3. Indicator Source:	Flex Plan call logs	

4 Evaguanay and Timin	g Monthly		
4. Frequency and Timin	g Monuny		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation	Percentage o resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.		
Methodology:			
0,7			
6. Definitions of Unclear	r Terms: NA		
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services			
9. Indicator Limitations: FBIS has no control the indicator			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Yes			
and Agency processes:			

**Sub-Program:** Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013.

1. Indicator Type:	Outcome
2. Indicator Rational	e: Measures of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.

3. Indicator Source: Fle	ex Plan call logs	
4. Frequency and Timing	Monthly	
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation	Percentage of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.	
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear	Terms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services		
9. Indicator Limitations: FBIS has no control of the indicator		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Yes		
and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013.

. Indicator Type: Efficiency	
. Indicator Rationale: Measures percentage of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.	
. Indicator Source: Flex Plan call logs	
Frequency and Timing Monthly of Collection and/or Reporting:	
Calculation Percentage of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.  Methodology:	
Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA	
Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services	
. Indicator Limitations: FBIS has no control of the indicator	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Yes and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve efficiency and effectiveness of OGB processes by 20% by FY 2012-2013.

1. Indicator Type: Quality		
2. Indicator Rationale: M	leasure percentage of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.	
3. Indicator Source: Flex	Plan call logs	
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:	Monthly	
5. Calculation Po	ercentage of resolved Flex Plan calls vs. number of Flex Plan calls received.	
6. Definitions of Unclear To	erms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate	e Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services		
9. Indicator Limitations:	FBIS has no control of the indicator	

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	Yes
and Agency processes:	

**Sub Program:** Agency Services

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20%

Indicator: Baseline number of external agency personnel in need of training

1. Indicator Type:   Input			
2. Indicator Rationale:	2. Indicator Rationale: To determine baseline number to begin measurement		
3. Indicator Source: E	xternal- simple count of agency personnel in need of training provided by agencies in need		
4. Frequency and Timin	g Monthly		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation	Standard calculation- sum of personnel in need of training		
Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear	r Terms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors of related departments			

9. Indicator Limitations: TBD		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	TBD	
and Agency processes:		
<u>Performan</u>	ce Indicator Documentation	
Sub Program: Agency Services		
Objective: 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectivene	ess of key OGB processes by 20%	
Indicator: Number of external agency personnel train	ined	
1. Indicator Type: Output		
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine the number o	of agency personnel to meet need	
2. Haleutoi iuttoitute. To determine the nameer o	ragericy personner to meet need	
3. Indicator Source: Internal- simple count of agency personnel actually trained		
4. Frequency and Timing   Monthly		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
	-(1(:1)	
5. Calculation Standard calculation (sum of Mathedalage)	or personner trained)	
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A		

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making TBD
and Agency processes:
Performance Indicator Documentation
Sub Program: Agency Services
<b>Objective:</b> 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20%
Indicator: Percentage of agency personnel trained vs. personnel in need of training
1. La diantou Transco Outromo
1. Indicator Type: Outcome
2. Indicator Rationale: By measuring the percentage of agency personnel trained vs. agency personnel in need of
training, related OGB departments will be able to determine how sufficiently the need has been
met
3. Indicator Source: Internal- percentage established by dividing trained personnel by untrained personnel
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly
of Collection and/or
Reporting:

5. Calculation	Standard calculation- ratio of "output" over "input"		
Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear	6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors			
9. Indicator Limitations	: TBD		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making TBD			
and Agency processes:			

**Sub Program:** Agency Services **Objective:** 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20%

Indicator: Cost per participant per course

1. Indicator Type: Efficiency		
2. Indicator Rationale	e: To provide a measure of the amount each participant will cost per course taken	
3. Indicator Source:	Internal- record of the cost per participant per course	

4 E 1 Ti i	- M1-1		
4. Frequency and Timin	g   Monthly		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation	Standard Calculation- amount determined by dividing the total cost to deliver the course by the		
Methodology:	number of participants in the course		
	<b>* *</b>		
6. Definitions of Unclear	r Terms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	gate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for	data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors		
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making TBD			
and Agency processes:			
	<u> </u>		

**Sub Program:** Agency Services

**Objective:** 1.1 Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of key OGB processes by 20%

Indicator: Improvement in customer satisfaction related to training provided

1. Indicator Type: Q	uality
2. Indicator Rationale:	To determine improvement in training processes

3. Indicator Source: Internal			
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly			
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation			
Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclea	r Terms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggre	gate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: OGB directors			
9. Indicator Limitations: TBD			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making TBD			
and Agency processes:			

**Sub-Program:** Administration/Communication

**Objective:** 2.1.2 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Baseline customer satisfaction rating from initial survey.

1. Indicator Type: Input			
2. Indicator Rationale: To obtain a baseline customer satisfaction rating as a starting measurement point.			
3. Indicator Source: External – will depend on satisfaction surveys conducted by outside vendor.			
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:  Annually			
5. Calculation Standard calculation of satisfaction using a 1-5 rating.  Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: A formal definition of satisfaction will need to be determined on a 1-5 scale.			
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Outside polling vendor/Public Information Director			
9. Indicator Limitations: None foreseen			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:			

**Sub-Program:** Administration/Communication

**Objective:** 2.1.2 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Change in plan member satisfaction rating annually.

1. Indicator Type: Output	1. Indicator Type: Output		
2. Indicator Rationale: To	2. Indicator Rationale: To determine level change in plan member satisfaction		
3. Indicator Source: Exte	ernal - will need annual survey by outside polling vendor.		
4. Frequency and Timing	Annually		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
	tandard numerical calculation of delta in satisfaction rating.		
Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear T	erms: Refer to initial definition of satisfaction.		
7 A (D)			
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure:   Aggregate			
0 D 11 + 6 1			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Outside polling vendor/ Public Information Director			
	NI (		
9. Indicator Limitations:	None foreseen		

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	To track progress of plan member satisfaction,
and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Administration/Communication

**Objective:** 2.1.2 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: % of change in plan member satisfaction rating

1. Indicator Type: Outcome				
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine level of change in plan member satisfaction rating.				
3. Indicator Source: Ext	3. Indicator Source: External determined by rate of increase in satisfaction survey rating.			
4. Frequency and Timing	Annually			
of Collection and/or				
Reporting:				
5. Calculation	Standard ratio of change in plan member satisfaction from one year to next.			
Methodology:				
6. Definitions of Unclear	Terms: Refer to formal definition of plan member satisfaction.			
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate				
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Outside polling vendor/ Public Information Director				

O Indicate a Limitation of Name (conse				
9. Indicator Limitations:   None foreseen	9. Indicator Limitations: None foreseen			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:				
<u>Performar</u>	nce Indicator Documentation			
Sub-Program: Administration/Communication Objective 2.1.2: Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013 Indicator: Cost to enhance plan member satisfaction				
1. Indicator Type:   Efficiency				
2. Indicator Rationale: Measures cost of enhancing	g plan member satisfaction.			
3. Indicator Source: Internal – can be determined by analyzing costs assigned to programs to enhance satisfaction.				
4. Frequency and Timing of Collection and/or Reporting:				
5. Calculation Standard cost accounting. Methodology:				

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Care will be needed in determining types of costs to accrue.

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Public Information Director/Fiscal Director			
9. Indicator Limitations: None foreseen			
Performance Indicator Documentation			
Sub-Program: Administration/Communication			
<b>Objective:</b> 2.1.2 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013			
Indicator: % of change in plan member satisfaction rating			
1. Indicator Type: Quality / Outcome			
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine level of change in plan member satisfaction rating.			
3. Indicator Source: External determined by rate of increase in satisfaction survey rating.			
4 E 1 Tr A 11			
4. Frequency and Timing Annually			
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation Standard ratio of change in plan member satisfaction from one year to next.			
Methodology:			
C Definition of the least Terms. Before the formal definition of all an arrangement of a first			
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Refer to formal definition of plan member satisfaction.			

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Outside polling vendor/ Public Information Director			
9. Indicator Limitations: None foreseen			
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   To clarify delta in customer satisfaction.			
and Agency processes:			
Performance Indicator Documentation			
Sub-Program: Administration/Communication			
<b>Objective:</b> 2.3 Increase staff member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013			
Indicator: Baseline number of employee communication strategies.			
1 Indicator Trace   Insert			
1. Indicator Type: Input			
2. Indicator Rationale: To obtain a baseline number of strategies as starting point.			
2. Indicator Rationale: To obtain a baseline number of strategies as starting point.			
3. Indicator Source: Internal – simple count of existing employee communication strategies.			
5. Indicator Source.   Internal - simple count of existing employee communication strategies.			
4. Frequency and Timing Annually			
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			

5. Calculation	Standard calculation of number of communication strategies.			
Methodology:				
6. Definitions of Unclea	6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Communication strategies will need to be formally identified.			
7. Aggregate/Disaggre	gate Figure	e: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for	8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Public Information Director			
9. Indicator Limitations: None foreseen				
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making   To identify a starting point for decision making.		To identify a starting point for decision making.		
and Agency processes:				

**Sub-Program:** Customer Service

**Objective:** 2.1 to increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013

Indicator: Baseline number of Plan Member complaints

1. Indicator Type: Input	
2 Indicator Rationale	: Identify number of complaints received
2. Illuicator Kationale	.   Identify flumber of complaints received
3. Indicator Source:	Internal ACD/MIS Reports

4. Frequency and Timing   Monthly	
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation Standard Calculation – Counting events on reports	
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Customer Service	
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To be determined	
and Agency processes:	
Performance Indicator Documentation	
Sub-Program: Customer Service	
<b>Objective:</b> 2.1 to increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013	
Indicator: Number of resolved Plan Member complaints	
•	
1. Indicator Type: Output	
2. Indicator Rationale: Measure number of complaint resolved	

3. Indicator Source: Ir	nternal reports - ACD/MIS Impact
4. Frequency and Timin	g Monthly
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation	Standard Count
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear	r Terms: NA
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	gate Figure:   Aggregate
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Customer Service	
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To be determined	
and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Customer Service

**Objective:** 2.1 to increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013 **Indicator**: Percentage of resolved complaints to baseline number of complaints.

1. Indicator Type: Outcome		
2. Indicator Rationale: Measure percent of resolved complaints		
3. Indicator Source: Internal Reports		
4. Frequency and Timing Monthly		
of Collection and/or Reporting:		
5. Calculation Standard ratio Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Customer services		
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Customer Service

**Objective:** 2.1 to increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Number of contacts for resolved complaints

1. Indicator Type: Efficie	ency
2. Indicator Rationale: M	leasure number o contacts made to resolve complaints
3. Indicator Source: Inter	rnal Reports
4. Frequency and Timing	Monthly
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
	tandard Count
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear To	erms: NA
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate	e Figure:   Aggregate
0 D 11 ( f 1	
8. Kesponsible party for da	ata collection, analysis, and quality: Customer service
O Indicate Discitati	TT1
9. Indicator Limitations:	Unknown

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	To be determined.
and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Customer Service **Objective:** 2.1 to increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013 **Indicator**: Reduction in number (average) of contacts to resolve complaints.

1. Indicator Type: Quality	
2. Indicator Rationale: N	Measure average number of contacts made reduced complaints.
3. Indicator Source: Inte	ernal Reports
4. Frequency and Timing	Monthly
of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
5. Calculation S	Standard Count
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Customer service	

9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making and Agency processes:  To be determined		
<u>Performan</u>	nce Indicator Documentation	
<b>Sub-Program:</b> Flexible Benefits and Imaging Service <b>Objective:</b> 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by <b>Indicator</b> : Baseline number of IRS Flex Plan Options	y 15% by FY 2012-2013	
1. Indicator Type: Input		
2. Indicator Rationale: Measure percentage of incr	rease of Flex Plan Options offered.	
3. Indicator Source: Internal count		
4. Frequency and Timing Annual of Collection and/or Reporting:		
5. Calculation Standard Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: N/A		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		

8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services	
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Unknown	
and Agency processes:	
una rigency processes.	
Performance Indicator Documentation	
Sub-Program: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services Objective: 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013 Indicator: Baseline number of IRS Flex Plan Options offered.	
1. Indicator Type: Output	
2. Indicator Rationale: Measure percentage of increase of Flex Plan Options offered.	
3. Indicator Source: Internal count	
4. Every and Timing Approx	
4. Frequency and Timing Annual of Collection and/or	
Reporting:	
Liebermi8.	
5. Calculation Standard	
Methodology:	

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services	
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Unknown	
and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services

**Objective:** 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Baseline number of IRS Flex Plan Options offered.

1. Indicator Type:	Outcome	
2. Indicator Rationa	2. Indicator Rationale: Measure percentage of increase of Flex Plan Options offered.	
3. Indicator Source: Internal count		
4. Frequency and Ti	iming Annual	
of Collection and/o	or	
Reporting:		

5. Calculation Standard	
Methodology:	
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA	
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services	
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown	
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Unknown	
and Agency processes:	
Professional La Profess Description	
Performance Indicator Documentation	
Curl Duagnama, Elavible Danelite and Luce sing Compiese	
Sub-Program: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services	
<b>Objective:</b> 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013	
Indicator: Baseline number of IRS Flex Plan Options offered.	
1 Indicator Type: Efficiency	
1. Indicator Type:   Efficiency	
2. Indicator Rationale: Measure percentage of increase of Flex Plan Options offered.	
3. Indicator Source: Internal count	

4. Frequency and Timin	g Annual		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation	Standard		
Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear	r Terms: NA		
7. Aggregate/Disaggreg	7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services			
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown			
10. Indicator use in Mar	nagement decision-making Unknown		
and Agency processes:	and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services

**Objective:** 2.1 Increase plan member satisfaction by 15% by FY 2012-2013

**Indicator**: Baseline number of IRS Flex Plan Option offered.

1. Indicator Type: Quality		
2. Indicator Rationale: Measure percentage of increase of Flex Plan Option offered.		
3. Indicator Source: Internal count		
4. Frequency and Timing Annual		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation Standard		
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: NA		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Flexible Benefits and Imaging Services		
9. Indicator Limitations: Unknown		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making Unknown		
and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Administration

**Objective:** 3.1 to improve the health of our members through innovative programs.

**Indicator**: Baseline number of wellness programs

1. Indicator Type: Input		
2. Indicator Rationale:	To obtain a baseline number of wellness programs, so that progress can be measured.	
3. Indicator Source: Int	ternal – can be determined by simple counting of wellness programs.	
4. Frequency and Timing	Annually	
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
	Standard list and count of wellness programs.	
Methodology:		
6. Definitions of Unclear	Terms: A formal definition of a wellness program will need to be codified.	
7 A (- /D) (- E) (- E)		
7. Aggregate/Disaggrega	ate Figure:   Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Admin. Director/Statistics		
or and posterior party for c		
9. Indicator Limitations: None foreseen		

10. Indicator use in Management decision-making	To identify a starting point for decision making.
and Agency processes:	

**Sub-Program:** Administration

**Objective:** 3.1 to improve the health of our members through innovative programs.

**Indicator**: Number of effective wellness programs

1. Indicator Type: Output			
2. Indicator Rationale: T	2. Indicator Rationale: To determine number of additional wellness programs after 1 year.		
3. Indicator Source: Inte	ernal – can be determined by a simple count of wellness programs.		
4. Frequency and Timing	Annually		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			
5. Calculation S	Standard numerical calculation.		
Methodology:			
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Refer to initial definition of wellness program.			
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate			
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Admin. Director/Statistic			

9. Indicator Limitations: None foreseen		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To track progress of wellness program,		
and Agency processes:		
Performar	nce Indicator Documentation	
Sub-Program: Administration		
<b>Objective:</b> 3.1 to improve the health of our member	1 0	
<b>Indicator</b> : % of effective wellness programs to num	ber of wellness programs	
1. Indicator Type: Outcome		
2. Indicator Rationale: To determine level of incre	ease in number of wellness program.	
2. Indicator radionale. To determine lever of incre	ase in rumeer of wemiess program.	
3. Indicator Source: Internal – determined by rate	e of increase in number of wellness programs	
4. Frequency and Timing Annually		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation Standard calculation of per	rcentage increase.	
Methodology:		
( Definitions of Hedgest Townson Defends formers)	afinition of a well-pass and areas	
6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Refer to formal d	lefinition of a wellness program .	

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure: Aggregate		
8. Responsible party for	data collection, analysis, and quality: Admin. Director/Statistic	
9. Indicator Limitations:	None foreseen	
10. Indicator use in Man	agement decision-making To clarify progress of wellness program.	
and Agency processes:		
	Performance Indicator Documentation	
Cul Duoguana Administ	tuation.	
Sub-Program: Administration		
, .	ve the health of our member through innovative programs.	
<b>Indicator</b> : Cost to incre	ase number of wellness programs	
1. Indicator Type: Effi	ciency	
2. Indicator Rationale: Measures cost of enhancing wellness program.		
3. Indicator Source: Internal – can be determined by analyzing costs assigned to wellness program.		
4. Frequency and Timing Annually		
of Collection and/or		
Reporting:		
5. Calculation	Standard cost accounting.	
Methodology:		

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms: Care will be needed in determining types of costs to accrue.		
7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure	e: Aggregate	
8. Responsible party for data collection, analysis, and quality: Admin. Director/Statistic		
9. Indicator Limitations: None for	oreseen	
·		
10. Indicator use in Management decision-making To identify cost-benefit ratio.		
and Agency processes:		

**Sub-Program:** Administration

**Objective:** 3.1 to improve the health of our members through innovative programs.

**Indicator**: Reduction in targeted health costs.

1. Indicator Type:   Quality			
2. Indicator Rationale:	2. Indicator Rationale: To calculate effectiveness of wellness programs in reduction of specific health care costs.		
3. Indicator Source: Int	ernal - OGB fiscal reports and data from Impact system.		
4. Frequency and Timing	Annually		
of Collection and/or			
Reporting:			

5. Calculation	Standard calculation of claims payment data.
Methodology:	

6. Definitions of Unclear Terms:	Targeted costs will have to be identified.
	$\sim$

7. Aggregate/Disaggregate Figure:	Aggregate
8. Responsible party for data	Admin. Director/ Statistic
collection, analysis, and quality:	
9. Indicator Limitations:	Unknown
10. Indicator use in Management	Unknown
decision-making and Agency	
processes:	